

Summary of Contents

<i>Publisher's Foreword</i>	iii
<i>Preface to the Second Edition</i>	v
<i>Preface to the First Edition</i>	vii
<i>Table of Contents</i>	C-3

VOLUME 1

Chapter 1: Introduction: The Boundaries of the Problem	1-1
Chapter 2: Overview of Legal Issues	2-1
Chapter 3: The Prison Inmate's Legal Identity	3-1
Chapter 4: The Right to Treatment	4-1
Chapter 5: Intake Screening/Classification Requirements	5-1
Chapter 6: Defining Treatment	6-1
Chapter 7: Case Law on Treatment Obligations	7-1
Chapter 8: Substance Abuse Programs and Rehabilitation	8-1
Chapter 9: The Treatment Relationship	9-1
Chapter 10: Recordkeeping Requirements	10-1
Chapter 11: Effect of Isolation on Mental Disability	11-1
Chapter 12: Use of Bodily Restraints	12-1
Chapter 13: Disciplinary Proceedings and Mental Illness	13-1
Chapter 14: Suicide	14-1
Chapter 15: Pretrial Detainees	15-1

VOLUME 2

Chapter 16: Mentally Retarded Offenders	16-1
Chapter 17: Transfer of Inmates for Treatment	17-1
Chapter 18: The Americans With Disabilities Act	18-1

**Chapter 19: Alternatives to Custodial Treatment: Diversion
and Aftercare 19-1**

Chapter 20: Juveniles 20-1

Chapter 21: Sexually Violent Predator Laws 21-1

Appendix A: Significant Cases on Inmate Mental Health CareApp. A-1

**Appendix B: Residential Treatment Unit (RTU) for
Ohio Department of Rehabilitation and CorrectionApp. B-1**

Appendix C: *Dunn v. Voinovich* Consent DecreeApp. C-1

Table of CasesT-1

IndexI-1

Table of Contents

<i>Publisher's Foreword</i>	iii
<i>Preface to the Second Edition</i>	v
<i>Preface to the First Edition</i>	vii
<i>Summary of Contents</i>	C-1

VOLUME 1

Chapter 1: Introduction: The Boundaries of the Problem	1-1
¶ 1.1 Constitutional Right to Treatment.....	1-1
¶ 1.2 Mental Disorder Defined.....	1-2
¶ 1.3 Constitutional Right vs. Good Practice	1-2
¶ 1.4 Americans With Disabilities Act.....	1-3
¶ 1.5 Inmates vs. the Non-Incarcerated Mentally Ill Offender.....	1-5
[1] The Civilly Committed.....	1-6
[2] Profoundly Retarded Persons	1-7
¶ 1.6 High Incidence of Mental Illness in Prison Populations	1-8
¶ 1.7 “Guilty but Mentally Ill” Verdict	1-10
¶ 1.8 Sex Offender Laws.....	1-12
¶ 1.9 Observations and Trends.....	1-13
[1] Role of Corrections Personnel	1-15
[2] Need for Legal Clarity	1-15
[3] Coming Home: Veterans’ Mental Health	1-16
[4] Correctional Mental Health Litigation.....	1-16
Chapter 2: Overview of Legal Issues	2-1
¶ 2.1 The Obligation of Basic Care	2-1
[1] Preservation of Life and Health	2-2
[2] Medical and Psychological Care.....	2-3
¶ 2.2 Deliberate Indifference	2-3
[1] Civil vs. Criminal Recklessness.....	2-4
[2] Effect on Intake Screening.....	2-4
¶ 2.3 Serious Needs.....	2-5
¶ 2.4 Duty to Diagnose	2-7
¶ 2.5 Records.....	2-8
¶ 2.6 Components of a Treatment Program	2-9
[1] Minimal Components.....	2-9
[2] Desirable Components	2-9
[3] BJS Reports.....	2-12
¶ 2.7 Evaluation of Treatment.....	2-16
¶ 2.8 Substance Abusers	2-16

¶ 2.9	Isolation.....	2-17
¶ 2.10	Restraint	2-17
	[1] During Civil Confinement	2-18
	[2] Challenges to Restraint	2-18
¶ 2.11	Pretrial Detainees	2-19
¶ 2.12	Suicide.....	2-19
¶ 2.13	The Mentally Retarded	2-20
¶ 2.14	Transfers for Treatment.....	2-21
¶ 2.15	The Treatment Relationship	2-22
	[1] Confidentiality vs. Institutional Security	2-22
	[2] When Confidentiality Does Not Apply.....	2-23
¶ 2.16	Consent.....	2-24
¶ 2.17	The Future	2-24
Chapter 3: The Prison Inmate’s Legal Identity		3-1
¶ 3.1	Governing Law.....	3-1
¶ 3.2	Basic Rights—Delineating Their Scope	3-3
	[1] Variant Approaches	3-4
	[2] Hands-Off Doctrine.....	3-6
¶ 3.3	Prisoners’ Rights vs. Prison Security.....	3-6
	[1] Reasonableness Test	3-9
	[2] Strict Scrutiny/Least Intrusive Test	3-10
	[3] Summary of <i>Turner</i> Issues.....	3-12
	[4] Effect on Mental Disorder Claims	3-13
	[5] Civil Confinement.....	3-14
¶ 3.4	Right of Access to Courts	3-14
	[1] Procedural Hurdles to Access	3-15
	[2] Tort Actions.....	3-17
	[a] Negligence	3-18
	[b] Role of State Courts	3-19
¶ 3.5	Conditions of Confinement	3-20
	[1] Overcrowding.....	3-22
	[2] Objective Severity	3-23
¶ 3.6	Right to Privacy	3-23
¶ 3.7	Rights in Disciplinary Proceedings, Transfers, and Administrative Segregation	3-29
	[1] Procedural Due Process	3-29
	[2] Hearings	3-30
	[3] What Creates a Liberty Interest	3-31
	[4] Limitations of the <i>Wolff</i> Decision	3-32
	[5] Burden of Persuasion in a Disciplinary Procedure.....	3-33
	[6] Inter-Prison Transfers.....	3-33
¶ 3.8	Religious Freedom.....	3-35

¶ 3.9	Rights in Classification Decisions.....	3-36
¶ 3.10	Summary.....	3-39
Chapter 4: The Right to Treatment.....		4-1
¶ 4.1	Constitutional Right to Care.....	4-2
	[1] <i>Estelle v. Gamble</i>	4-2
	[2] “Deliberate Indifference”.....	4-3
	[3] Medical and Mental Health Conditions.....	4-4
¶ 4.2	Legal Basis for the Obligation of Treatment.....	4-4
	[1] Seriousness Requirement.....	4-5
	[2] Custody Question.....	4-5
	[3] Custody Requirement Loosened.....	4-6
¶ 4.3	How the “Deliberate Indifference” Standard Is Applied.....	4-8
	[1] “Pain” Requirement.....	4-9
	[2] Actual Injury Requirement.....	4-10
	[3] Recklessness.....	4-13
	[4] Duty.....	4-14
	[5] Actual Knowledge.....	4-15
	[6] Discovering the Existence of Mental Illness.....	4-20
	[a] Reception Screening and Evaluations.....	4-20
	[b] Cell Assignment Risks.....	4-21
	[7] Evidentiary Issues.....	4-23
	[8] Expert Testimony Limitations.....	4-26
	[9] Negligence Defined.....	4-28
	[10] Malpractice.....	4-28
	[11] Access to Care.....	4-30
¶ 4.4	Leading Recent Cases: Deliberate Indifference and Systemic Failure.....	4-32
	[1] <i>Coleman v. Wilson</i>	4-33
	[2] “ <i>Pelican Bay</i> ”.....	4-36
	[3] <i>Casey v. Lewis</i>	4-37
	[4] <i>Dunn v. Voinovich</i>	4-38
	[5] <i>Ruiz v. Johnson</i>	4-40
	[a] Court Order for TDCJ Intervention Plan.....	4-41
	[b] Screening and Evaluation.....	4-43
	[6] <i>Feliciano v. Gonzalez</i>	4-44
	[7] Arkansas Fails CRIPA Investigation.....	4-48
¶ 4.5	“Serious Medical Needs” Standard.....	4-51
	[1] Serious Mental Illness.....	4-52
	[a] Competing “Seriousness” Tests Used by Courts.....	4-55
	[b] Duty to Prevent?.....	4-57
	[c] Symptoms vs. Outcomes.....	4-59
	[d] “Seriousness”: Liability vs. Damages.....	4-61
	[e] “Seriousness”: Clinical Uncertainty.....	4-62

[f]	Disease Vel Non: Sexual Disorders	4-63
[g]	The Retarded; Professional Judgment.....	4-70
[2]	Distillation of Case Law Analysis.....	4-71
[3]	Passivity, Withdrawal—Undetected Illness.....	4-72
¶ 4.6	Dilemma Exacerbated by Prison Milieu.....	4-72
Chapter 5: Intake Screening/Classification Requirements		5-1
¶ 5.1	Basic Obligations and Rights.....	5-1
¶ 5.2	Recommended Processes.....	5-2
[1]	“Screening/Evaluation Violate No Rights of Inmate”	5-4
[2]	Failure to Train	5-5
[3]	Duty to Effectively Screen	5-6
[a]	Policy vs. Urgent Need.....	5-10
[b]	Policy vs. Actual Knowledge	5-11
[4]	Ineffective Screening Policy—Deliberate Indifference?.....	5-13
¶ 5.3	Constitutionally Deficient Systems	5-14
[1]	<i>Ruiz v. Estelle</i> : Texas	5-14
[2]	<i>Pugh v. Locke</i> : Alabama.....	5-15
[3]	<i>Barnes v. Government of Virgin Islands</i>	5-15
[4]	<i>Feliciano v. Barcelo</i> : Puerto Rico	5-16
[5]	<i>Laaman v. Helgemoe</i> : New Hampshire	5-18
[6]	<i>Palmigiano v. Garrahy</i> : Rhode Island.....	5-19
[7]	<i>Coleman v. Wilson</i> : California	5-21
¶ 5.4	Constitutionally Valid Systems	5-23
[1]	Duty in Doubt	5-24
[2]	Support From Experts	5-26
¶ 5.5	Summary	5-28
Chapter 6: Defining Treatment		6-1
¶ 6.1	Treatment and Other “Helping” Terms.....	6-1
[1]	Contingency Definition: Treatment of Mental Illness.....	6-3
[2]	Definition Within Prison Setting	6-4
¶ 6.2	Treatment vs. Rehabilitation and Training	6-5
[1]	Treatment	6-5
[2]	Rehabilitation	6-6
[3]	Habilitation vs. Rehabilitation	6-6
[4]	Training	6-7
[5]	Who Fits the Disease Model in Case Law.....	6-8
¶ 6.3	Subjective vs. Objective Approaches to Treatment	6-8
[1]	Expansive vs. Narrow Claims to Treatment	6-9
[2]	Issues Regarding Termination of Care.....	6-10
¶ 6.4	Dilemmas Prison Professionals Face.....	6-10

Chapter 7: Case Law on Treatment Obligations	7-1
¶ 7.1 Introduction— <i>Cameron’s Dual Status Resolution</i>	7-2
¶ 7.2 Earlier Cases Granting Relief.....	7-5
[1] Inadequate Care— <i>Ruiz v. Estelle</i>	7-5
[2] Early Guidelines Toward Legally Adequate Care.....	7-7
[3] Isolation Practices and Conditions.....	7-9
[4] Existent Care System Deficiencies.....	7-10
[5] Judicial Responses to Deficient Care	7-12
[a] Separate Facility Requirement.....	7-15
[b] Staff Reductions Creating Unconstitutional System.....	7-15
[c] Qualified Physicians.....	7-18
¶ 7.3 More Recent Decisions Granting Relief.....	7-19
[1] Deliberate Indifference— <i>Coleman v. Wilson</i>	7-19
[a] Systemic Deficiencies—Chronic Understaffing.....	7-21
[b] Inordinate Delays in Access.....	7-22
[2] Unparalleled Excessive Force to Cause Harm— <i>Pelican Bay</i>	7-23
[a] Excessive Force Litany.....	7-25
[b] “Stunning Indifference” to Health Care Needs.....	7-26
[c] Exacerbation of Existing Mental Illness	7-27
[d] Other Conditions Giving Rise to Eighth Amendment Violations.....	7-29
[3] Severe Mental Illness—Care Obligations in <i>Casey v. Lewis</i>	7-31
[4] Making Mental Health Care Delivery Work— <i>Austin v. Pennsylvania DOC</i>	7-39
[5] <i>Dunn v. Voinovich: The Ohio Approach</i>	7-40
[a] Omission vs. Commission.....	7-42
[b] Highlights of Consent Decree.....	7-43
[6] <i>Carty v. Farrelly: Systemic Failure</i>	7-44
¶ 7.4 Interference With Treatment	7-46
[1] “Interference” vs. Deliberate Indifference.....	7-46
[2] Classic Case of Interference— <i>Jones v. Evans</i>	7-47
[3] Prescribed Medications Discontinued.....	7-49
[4] Medication Distribution	7-51
¶ 7.5 Delay/Denial of Access to Treatment	7-53
[1] Access Components	7-54
[a] Alabama Fails on Access Claim— <i>Bradley v. Hightower</i>	7-55
[b] Accreditation and Access— <i>Ruiz Redux</i>	7-59
[2] Co-Pay and Access.....	7-60
¶ 7.6 A Sampling of Cases Upholding Available Care.....	7-62
[1] <i>Hendrix v. Faulkner</i>	7-62
[2] <i>Canterino v. Bland</i>	7-63
[3] <i>Toussaint, Ferola, Taylor, and Hallett</i>	7-64

[4]	An Individual Complaint— <i>Conway v. Henze</i>	7-67
[5]	Summary	7-69
Chapter 8: Substance Abuse Programs and Rehabilitation		8-1
¶ 8.1	Substance Abuser Status vs. Criminal Culpability	8-1
¶ 8.2	Concept of Substance Abuse as Disease	8-2
	[1] High Prevalence Among Inmates.....	8-2
	[2] Constitutional Claim to Treatment vs. Substance Abuse Care	8-5
	[3] Substance Use Disorder	8-9
¶ 8.3	Objection to Treatments Having a Religious Basis	8-10
¶ 8.4	Rehabilitation vs. Treatment Concepts	8-12
	[1] Rehabilitative Ideal	8-12
	[2] Merger of Rehab/Treatment Concepts.....	8-13
	[3] No Constitutional Right to Rehabilitation	8-15
	[4] Right to Avoid Deterioration.....	8-16
¶ 8.5	Summary	8-18
Chapter 9: The Treatment Relationship		9-1
¶ 9.1	Confidentiality and Privilege.....	9-2
	[1] Divided Loyalties	9-2
	[2] Governing Law	9-5
	[3] Rejected Law of Privilege.....	9-6
	[4] Delineating Boundaries on Access to Information	9-8
¶ 9.2	When Confidentiality Does Not Apply	9-11
	[1] Duty to Warn Identifiable Third Party— <i>Tarasoff</i>	9-11
	[2] Duty to Protect Group Therapy Participants.....	9-17
	[3] Statutory Basis: Drug Treatment as Example.....	9-18
	[4] Other Probabilities for Harm	9-19
	[5] Ascertaining Compliance With Court Orders.....	9-21
	[6] Access by Protection and Advocacy Organization	9-21
¶ 9.3	Consent to Treatment.....	9-22
	[1] Situational Coercion: Limits on Free Choice	9-23
	[2] Harmful Effects; Severity of Treatment.....	9-24
	[3] Autonomy: Issues of Competency and Voluntariness.....	9-27
	[4] Suggested Norm for Informed Consent.....	9-28
	[5] Mandatory Admissions and Sex Offender Treatment Programs	9-29
	[a] The Plurality Plus O'Connor	9-32
	[b] The Dissent.....	9-34
¶ 9.4	Earlier Consent Decisions.....	9-34
¶ 9.5	HIPAA: Federal Rules on Medical Privacy	9-39
¶ 9.6	The Need for Judicial Safeguards.....	9-42
	[1] Resistance to Treatment vs. “Professional Judgment” Standard.....	9-42

[2]	No Normative Position on Force.....	9-45
[3]	Emergency Treatment—“As Needed”.....	9-45
[4]	“Liberty Interest” Recognition.....	9-47
[5]	Parolees and Forced Medication.....	9-48
[6]	Treatment Relations.....	9-50
[7]	Forcible Medication for Trial Competency— <i>Sell v. United States</i>	9-52
[8]	Forcible Medication for Hybrid Proceedings.....	9-56
[9]	Forced Medication and Revocation Hearing Competence.....	9-59
[10]	Forcible Medication for Execution Competency.....	9-60
Chapter 10: Recordkeeping Requirements.....		10-1
¶ 10.1	Legal Duty and Pitfalls.....	10-1
¶ 10.2	Endemic Deficiencies.....	10-3
[1]	Ambiguous Directives.....	10-4
[2]	Constitutional Necessity—Continuity of Care.....	10-5
[3]	Duty to Obtain Relevant Facts.....	10-8
¶ 10.3	Policy Guidelines.....	10-9
Chapter 11: Effect of Isolation on Mental Disability.....		11-1
¶ 11.1	Concept and Liability Foundations.....	11-1
[1]	Mental Disability Itself as a Variable in Decision to Isolate.....	11-4
[2]	Rights of the Non-Mentally Ill.....	11-6
¶ 11.2	When Isolation Crosses a Constitutional Line.....	11-11
¶ 11.3	Earlier Cases Finding Unconstitutionality.....	11-19
¶ 11.4	Additional Review of Significant Cases.....	11-22
[1]	“Supermax” Prisons.....	11-25
[2]	Protecting the Seriously Mentally Ill From Supermax Confinement.....	11-28
[a]	Constitutional Issues— <i>Jones ’El v. Berge</i>	11-30
[b]	Supermax Conditions Revisited—Boscobel.....	11-32
[c]	Terminology and Definitions.....	11-35
[d]	Deliberate Indifference and Injunctive Relief.....	11-36
¶ 11.5	A Report on New York.....	11-41
¶ 11.6	Death Penalty Inmates.....	11-48
¶ 11.7	Summary, Conclusion, and a Proposal.....	11-49
Chapter 12: Use of Bodily Restraints.....		12-1
¶ 12.1	Mechanical Restraint Defined.....	12-1
¶ 12.2	Basic Legal Rights and Duties.....	12-2
¶ 12.3	Standard for Restraining Inmates.....	12-3
¶ 12.4	Case Law Review.....	12-4
[1]	“Conditions of Confinement” Claim.....	12-4
[2]	“Use of Force” Claim.....	12-5

[3] Mechanical Restraint Use Analysis	12-6
¶ 12.5 Therapeutic Restraints and Proposed Policy	12-8
[1] Permissible vs. Impermissible Types of Restraint	12-11
[a] <i>Campbell v. Sikes</i> —Deprivation of Rights	12-12
[b] <i>Swans, Sr. v. City of Lansing</i> —Excessive Force; Failure to Provide Care, Training.....	12-18
[c] Medical Care and Exhaustion of Remedies	12-22
[d] Restraints as Torture	12-25
[e] Evidentiary Issues.....	12-26
[2] Essential Policy Guidelines.....	12-26
[3] Recent Policy Developments in Use of Restraints	12-29
[a] HCFA Behavior Management Standards for Hospitals.....	12-30
[b] Ohio Restraint Policy.....	12-32
[c] Summary of Recent Findings in Literature Review of Restraints	12-33
Chapter 13: Disciplinary Proceedings and Mental Illness	13-1
¶ 13.1 Reasonable Accommodation Difficulties	13-1
¶ 13.2 Competence and Insanity.....	13-3
¶ 13.3 Reasonable Accommodation at Work.....	13-6
[1] The Ohio Approach.....	13-6
[2] No Disciplinary Proceeding—Incompetency	13-7
Chapter 14: Suicide	14-1
¶ 14.1 The Problem.....	14-2
[1] Prevalence of Suicide	14-2
[2] Need for Reasonable Objectives	14-5
[3] Plaintiffs’ Legal Claims.....	14-5
¶ 14.2 Liability Issues.....	14-6
[1] General Standards and Rules	14-6
[a] Custodians.....	14-6
[b] Deliberate Indifference	14-7
[c] Intake Screening	14-7
[d] No Prior Suicide Attempt	14-10
[e] Obtaining Outside Records.....	14-11
[2] State vs. Federal Statutory-Based Claims.....	14-12
[3] <i>Farmer v. Brennan</i> and Suicide.....	14-20
[a] Inferences of Knowledge of Suicidality	14-20
[b] Prevailing Plaintiff.....	14-21
[c] Prevailing Defendant	14-26
[4] Custody Itself Creates Potential Responsibility.....	14-27
[5] Prevention and Treatment Obligations—The Unconvicted	14-32
¶ 14.3 Theories of Liability	14-33
[1] Failure to Provide Care and Failure to Protect.....	14-34

[2] Treatment vs. Protection	14-35
[a] “Self-Protective” Insulation	14-36
[b] Ensuring Physical Safety of Protective Cells	14-37
[3] Actual Knowledge.....	14-37
[a] Factors Demonstrating Actual Knowledge	14-38
[b] Imputing Knowledge to Staff	14-43
[4] Limitations of Deliberate Indifference Standard	14-43
¶ 14.4 The Information Problem in the Deliberate Indifference Standard.....	14-46
[1] Inference of Actual Knowledge	14-46
[2] Failure to Train or to Create Policy.....	14-48
[a] Need to Avoid Rewarding Ignorance	14-48
[b] Cases Where Failure to Train Led to Liability	14-48
[c] The Information-Interpretation Problem	14-54
[d] Absence of Policy Not Necessarily a Defense for Individual Officer.....	14-56
[3] No Passing or Sharing of Information—The “Hand-Off” Issue.....	14-56
[4] Professional Evasion	14-60
[5] Risk and Causation Issues.....	14-60
¶ 14.5 The Salvi Suicide	14-61
¶ 14.6 Risks and Duties	14-64
[1] Seriousness of Threat	14-64
[2] Potential for Overdose of Medication.....	14-64
[3] Actual Knowledge.....	14-66
[4] Professional Judgment Issues	14-69
¶ 14.7 Post-Suicide Attempt Duties.....	14-72
¶ 14.8 Key to Suicide Prevention	14-75
[1] Policy Recommendations.....	14-76
[2] Cell Environment Recommendations	14-79
Chapter 15: Pretrial Detainees	15-1
¶ 15.1 Constitutional Source of Rights.....	15-1
[1] Due Process Right to No Punishment.....	15-3
[2] Context and Content.....	15-5
[3] A Right to Civil Commitment: West Virginia.....	15-7
[4] Minimally Adequate Care and Protection.....	15-10
¶ 15.2 Screening and Reception	15-12
[1] No Screening.....	15-12
[2] No Passing of Information	15-13
[3] Overcrowding.....	15-13
[4] Duty to Screen.....	15-14
[5] Exiling the Ill	15-16
¶ 15.3 The L.A. County Jail Findings	15-18
¶ 15.4 Separation of Detainees From Convicts	15-19

VOLUME 2

Chapter 16: Mentally Retarded Offenders	16-1
¶ 16.1 Nature of the Problem.....	16-1
[1] Retardation Defined.....	16-2
[2] Learning Disabled Inmates	16-3
[3] Conviction—Competency Issues	16-3
¶ 16.2 Legal Right to Care or Protection.....	16-4
[1] The Involuntarily Committed.....	16-5
[2] Basis of Claim to Help.....	16-7
[a] Due Process	16-7
[b] Eighth Amendment Habilitation Right.....	16-8
[c] Classifying and Recordkeeping Obligations	16-9
¶ 16.3 Policy Alternatives	16-10
¶ 16.4 Criminal Responsibility Issues	16-10
¶ 16.5 Capital Punishment.....	16-11
[1] <i>Atkins</i> and the Evolving Standards of Human Decency.....	16-13
[2] Procedural Considerations and Evidentiary Factors.....	16-16
Chapter 17: Transfer of Inmates for Treatment	17-1
¶ 17.1 Procedural Claims—Earlier Cases	17-1
[1] Right to Equal Protection.....	17-2
[2] Prison-to-Prison Transfers: No Right to Due Process	17-3
¶ 17.2 Creation of Liberty Interest— <i>Vitek</i>	17-4
[1] Liberty Interest Rationale	17-5
[2] Mandated Procedural Safeguards.....	17-6
[3] The Problem of Emergency Transfers.....	17-7
¶ 17.3 Issues Not Resolved by <i>Vitek</i>	17-8
[1] Facilities Encompassed	17-9
[2] Criteria for Transfer	17-11
[3] Durational Limits	17-12
[4] Transfers for Evaluation.....	17-13
[5] Good Time Credits and Parole Eligibility.....	17-13
[6] Rights Subsequent to Transfer	17-17
[7] Beyond Mental Hospitals.....	17-20
Chapter 18: The Americans With Disabilities Act.....	18-1
¶ 18.1 Introduction.....	18-1
¶ 18.2 Impact of the <i>Yeskey</i> Decision	18-2
¶ 18.3 Definition of Mental Disability and Various Exclusions	18-4
¶ 18.4 Case Law Developments.....	18-5
[1] Failure to Detect Mental Illness	18-7
[2] Incentive Gain Time	18-10
[3] Aid for Counseling Sessions.....	18-14

¶ 18.5 Standard of Review	18-15
---------------------------------	-------

Chapter 19: Alternatives to Custodial Treatment: Diversion and Aftercare..... 19-1

¶ 19.1 A Conundrum	19-1
¶ 19.2 Diversion: Retention in the Community	19-4
[1] Assisted Outpatient Commitment	19-8
[2] Diversion of Drug Offenders	19-9
¶ 19.3 Discharge Planning and Community Services	19-11
[1] <i>Wakefield v. Thompson</i>	19-11
[2] <i>Brad H. v. City of New York</i>	19-15
[a] Written Plan for Mental Health Care	19-15
[b] Settlement	19-19
[c] Cohen’s Comments on <i>Brad H.</i>	19-24
[d] Continuing Obligation	19-25
[e] Monitoring	19-26
[f] <i>Brad H.</i> Modified as to Medical	19-29
[3] Position Statement of American Association of Community Psychiatrists.....	19-30
[4] Federal Benefit Programs	19-34
[5] Discharge Planning: Some Models.....	19-41
[a] Hampden County, Massachusetts—Post-Incarceration Support System.....	19-41
[b] New York City—CSS/CTI Program.....	19-43
[c] Chicago—Thresholds Program	19-50
[d] San Francisco.....	19-51

Chapter 20: Juveniles 20-1

¶ 20.1 Introduction.....	20-1
¶ 20.2 Developmental Psychology and Mental Health Needs	20-3
¶ 20.3 The Right to Treatment.....	20-6
[1] Earlier Cases and Thinking	20-6
[2] Articulating Treatment Goals.....	20-9
[3] Waiting for Community Care While Held in Detention.....	20-11
[a] Effects of Incarceration.....	20-11
[b] Excerpts From Government Report	20-12
[4] Consent to Treatment	20-16
¶ 20.4 Settlements.....	20-18
[1] Louisiana: A Massive Settlement.....	20-19
[a] Non-Section IV Mental Health References	20-20
[b] Section IV: Specific Mental Health Provisions.....	20-22
[c] Reform Model.....	20-24
[2] South Dakota: Limited and General	20-24
[3] Georgia: An Agreement and Building the System.....	20-26

[4] California	20-36
[a] Youth Authority.....	20-36
[b] Los Angeles Juvenile Halls	20-39
[5] Mississippi.....	20-42
[a] A CRIPA Letter to the Governor	20-42
[b] Mississippi: Still Burning.....	20-47
[6] Arizona.....	20-54
[a] Findings.....	20-54
[b] A Settlement.....	20-56
[c] 2007 Consultants Committee Report.....	20-59
[7] Hawaii	20-60
[a] Letter and Findings.....	20-60
[b] Hawaii Settles	20-62
¶ 20.5 Juvenile Suicide in Confinement.....	20-64
[1] National Center on Institutions and Alternatives.....	20-64
[2] The National Center for Juvenile Justice Report.....	20-66
¶ 20.6 Aftercare	20-67
Chapter 21: Sexually Violent Predator Laws	21-1
¶ 21.1 Introduction.....	21-1
¶ 21.2 Observations and Trends.....	21-4
[1] <i>Kansas v. Hendricks</i>	21-4
[2] <i>Seling v. Young</i>	21-5
[3] <i>Kansas v. Crane</i>	21-8
¶ 21.3 Sex Offender Treatment Programs and Eligibility	21-8
[1] Compulsion: <i>McKune v. Lile</i>	21-8
[2] Punishment of the Unconvicted: <i>Hargett v. Adams</i>	21-12
[a] SVP Laws—Civil, With a Wrinkle.....	21-13
[b] No Constitutional Impediment to Confinement.....	21-15
[3] Due Process: <i>Jones v. Blanas</i>	21-18
[4] Offender Status.....	21-20
¶ 21.4 Conditions of Confinement: A Landmark Decision, <i>Hydrick v. Hunter</i>	21-21
[1] SVPs’ and Prisoners’ Rights	21-21
[2] No Due Process.....	21-23
[3] More Restrictive and Punitive Treatment	21-25
¶ 21.5 Probable Cause Hearings, and How Likely Is Likely?.....	21-26
[1] <i>Cooley v. Superior Court</i>	21-26
[2] “Likely” in Massachusetts: <i>Commonwealth v. Boucher</i>	21-32
Appendix A: Significant Cases on Inmate Mental Health Care.....	App. A-1
<i>Casey v. Lewis</i>	App. A-2
<i>Madrid v. Gomez</i>	App. A-40

Coleman v. Wilson I App. A-104
Coleman v. Wilson II..... App. A-131
Feliciano v. Gonzalez..... App. A-135
Ruiz v. Johnson I..... App. A-166
Ruiz v. Johnson II..... App. A-186
D.M. et al. v. Terhune..... App. A-196
Jones 'El v. Berge App. A-212
Williams v. McKeithen App. A-228

**Appendix B: Residential Treatment Unit (RTU) for
Ohio Department of Rehabilitation and Correction..... App. B-1**

Appendix C: *Dunn v. Voinovich* Consent Decree..... App. C-1

Table of Cases T-1
Index I-1